

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/820,371	03/26/2001	Awadhesh K. Mishra	121-272	4532		
23548	7590 06/24/2003					
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			EXAMINER			
700 THIRTEE	NTH ST. NW		WEBMAN, F	WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
SUITE 300	ON, DC 20005-3960					
WADIIIIOIC	711, DC 20003-3700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1617			
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N . Applicant(s)						
Office Action Commons	09/82037 MISHR		MISHRA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner U/EBM		Group Art Unit				
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—							
P riod for Reply	•						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAII	LING DATE			
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expecified to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.	y within the statutory minim pire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	um of thirty (30) n the mailing dat	days will be consider	ed timely. on .			
Status	12.1.2						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	124/03			·			
☐ This action is FINAL.							
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.							
դ Disp sition of Claims				e.			
XClaim(s) 31-1&3		is/are i	pending in the app	lication.			
Of the above claim(s)							
Claim(s)							
□ Claim(s)							
Glaim(s)	ie/aro	is/are objected to					
□ Claim(s)	15/016	is/are objected to.					
Claim(s)		require	ement.	or election			
Application Papers							
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. 							
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). 							
*Certified copies not received:		<u> </u>	•				
Attachment(s)							
☐ Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper Not	s) 🗆 Ir	nterview Sumr	nary, PTO-413				
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ No			Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		☐ Other					

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/820,371

Art Unit: 1617

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A method of reducing irritation a method of inducing Anesthesia.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, methods of using are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/820,371

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 41-42, 91-94 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising diluents. One ultimate diluent must be elected. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claims 67, 97-100 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising Amphiphilic agents. One ultimate agent must be elected. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claim 44 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising tonicity modifiers.

Application/Control Number: 09/820,371

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is required under 35

U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Should applicants elect a method of inducing Anesthesia, the following election of species is required

Claim 1 of 15 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising types of Anesthesia. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/820,371 Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234.

Webman/tgd June 3, 2003

